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## Executive Summary

## Purpose

The purpose of this study is to identify crash trends, determine site-specific countermeasures, and set up reasonable time periods to implement the proposed countermeasures for the SR 43/Lee Road and Lee Road/South Miles Road intersections in the City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio. This location is being studied because the intersection ranked \#32 on ODOT’s 2014 Highway Safety Program Priority List for Urban Intersections based on the frequency and severity of crashes.

## Background

SR 43 (Miles Avenue) and South Miles Road are located less than 500 feet from each other along Lee Road with signals at each intersection. The signals are coordinated. An at-grade railroad crossing is located between the two intersections. SR 43 and Lee Road are classified as urban principal arterials. Lee Road is the major roadway with an ADT of approximately 16,000 vehicles per day.

A new signal was installed at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection in 2014 providing upgrades to signal visibility, detection, and timing. At that time, no changes were made at the South Miles Road intersection except signal timing tweaks.

## Crash Results and Possible Causes

Mott MacDonald verified 68 crashes at the study intersections between 2012 and 2014. Three major crash trends are as follows:

1. Nine crashes occurred on southbound Lee Road at South Miles Road, including rear end, sideswipe, and left turn crashes. All crashes were directly related to the southbound shared through/left lane.
2. Nine crashes occurred at the driveway on Lee Road for the gas station on the northwest corner of SR 43 and Lee Road. All nine were angle crashes with the at-fault vehicle exiting the drive. The cause appears to be the width of the drive ( 90 feet) and the proximity to the intersection.
3. Twenty rear end crashes occurred on Lee Road and appear to be largely congestion related.

## Recommended Countermeasures

Mott MacDonald recommends the following short-term countermeasures:

- Upgrade pedestrian and vehicle detection at the South Miles Road intersection, retime both intersections including optimizing the coordination parameters, and evaluate the need for railroad traffic signal preemption.

Mott MacDonald recommends the following medium-term countermeasures:

- Improve the transition and surface of the at-grade railroad crossing
- Narrow the driveway to the gas station on the northwest corner of SR 43/Lee Road

Mott MacDonald recommends the following long-term countermeasures:

- Widen Lee Road south of SR 43 to add an exclusive southbound left turn lane at the South Miles Road intersection which would necessitate new mast arms, signals with backplates, pedestrian signals, and vehicle detection at the South Miles Road intersection.


## Existing Conditions

The study area is SR 43 (Miles Avenue) at Lee Road (CR 8), Lee Road at South Miles Road, the segment in between, and 500 feet along each intersection approach. Both SR 43 and Lee Road are classified as urban principal arterials while South Miles Road is a major urban collector. SR 43 is an east-west route that provides access to I-480 N and I-271 to the east and SR 14 (Broadway Avenue) to the west. Lee Road is a north-south route that provides access to $l-480$ to the south and Shaker Heights and East Cleveland to the north. SR 43 and Lee Road are National Highway System (NHS) routes.

The study area by Network Linear Feature Identifier (NLFID) is as follows.

| Route | Local Name | NFLID | Logpoint | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 43 | Miles Avenue | SCUYSR00043**C | 11.13 | Lee Road |
| CR 8 | Lee Road | CCUYCR00008**C | $1.81-1.93$ | S. Miles Road to SR 43 |

The speed limit is 35 MPH on all legs except the north leg of Lee Road and South Miles Road which are 25 MPH. Based on traffic counts in September of 2015, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) is approximately 9,000 vehicles per day on $S R 43,16,000$ vehicles per day on Lee Road, and 5,000 vehicles per day on South Miles Road. Lee Road is composed of concrete pavement. SR 43 and South Miles Road are both asphalt roadways however the approaches to Lee Road are concrete. Sidewalks are present on both sides of all roadways in the study area and vary from integral walk to offset walk with grass tree lawns. In general, pavement and walk is in fair condition. Pavement markings are in good condition throughout and per the City of Cleveland, will be restriped as part of routine city operations in the coming months.


Figure 1 - Study Location, District 12, Cuyahoga County (left), City of Cleveland (right)
There is evidence of truck off-tracking at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, particularly at the southwest and southeast corners, in the form of visible tire marks across the curb ramps and walk as well as scraped wood utility poles.

Figure 3 - At-Grade Railroad Crossing


Both intersections operate under semiactuated, coordinated traffic signal control with Lee Road mainline under minimum recall. At the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, left turn lanes are present for each approach with powerhead loop detectors and protected/permissive left turn phasing. In addition to the left turn lanes, each approach has a through lane and a shared through/right lane. Backplates and LED signal indications are present throughout. Pedestrian crosswalks and countdown LED signals are present for each approach. Pushbuttons are present for all crossings. All curb ramps appear to be ADA compliant. LED street lighting is present on both roadways and at the intersection.

At the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection, South Miles Road tees into Lee Road on the east side and a church driveway acts as a fourth approach on the west side of the intersection. South Miles Road is vehicle actuated via loops and the driveway utilizes a microwave detector to call vehicles. The southbound approach of Lee Road is made up of a shared through/left lane and a shared through/right lane. This approach has a leading green phase with a protected left. Backplates are not present. Signal indications appear to all be LED. Crosswalks and pedestrian signals are present on the north and east legs. The north-south crossing is on recall while the east-west crossing is pushbutton activated. All curb ramps appear to be ADA compliant. LED street lighting is present on both roadways and at the intersection.

The SR 43 and South Miles Road signals are coordinated along Lee Road. The signal to signal coordination appears to be via hardwire aerial interconnect cable. The signals operate with a zero second offset referencing the end of yellow on the Lee Road north-south phase at both intersections.

The City of Cleveland noted that the controller and UPS at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection was hit by a vehicle and damaged in January of 2016. The controller was replaced at that time but the UPS was not replaced. Figure 2 shows the foundation and footprint for the controller and UPS cabinets installed in 2014 as well as the current cabinet installed in 2016.

A single track at-grade railroad crossing is present between the two intersections. Per the Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) online databases, the operating railroad is the Cleveland Commercial Railroad Company and the crossing owner is Norfolk Southern. Per

Figure 2-SR 43/Lee Controller


Figure 4 - Discontinuous Walk

the City of Cleveland, there is no railroad preemption or communication between the railroad signals and vehicular signals at this location. The material in between the tracks is in poor condition and the transition from pavement to tracks is not smooth. In addition, the sidewalks on both sides of Lee Road are discontinuous at the crossing.

The area is commercial and industrial along both SR 43 and Lee Road and heavily residential off of these two major routes. The southeast corner of the SR $43 /$ Lee Road intersection is vacant while the other three corners are occupied. The northwest quadrant contains a large curb cut access point on Lee Road extending across the gas station at the corner and the multi-use commercial building just north.

The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority (RTA) runs bus \#19 along Miles Avenue and \#40 along Lee Road within the project area with stops along Lee Road within the project area with stops
approximately every 30 minutes during peak periods.

SR 43, Lee Road (SR 43 to South Miles Road), and South Miles Road are on the City of Cleveland's Bikeway Implementation Plan.

Mott MacDonald conducted a field review on March 23, 2016, with Andy Cross from the City of Cleveland. The weather was 50 degrees, clear, and dry. The observation was performed on what was deemed to be a typical day based on weather, a non-holiday week, and no known events that would have altered traffic conditions. Other observations were made between January and March namely to observe signal timing and progression.









The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority


## Crash Data

The intersection was identified on ODOT's 2014 Highway Safety Program Safety Priority List as \#32 for urban intersections and on the same list in 2015 as \#25.

ODOT provided Mott MacDonald crash summaries in CAMTool format. Crashes were queried in ODOT's GCAT system by spatial location at the intersection and adjoining segment for years 2012 through 2014 (the three most recent years available at the time of the study). The query resulted in 76 total crashes.

Mott MacDonald downloaded OH-1 reports from the Ohio Department of Public Safety's website and reviewed crashes to verify location and type. Mott MacDonald removed crashes that were located outside of the study area. A total of eight crashes were removed from the analysis resulting in 68 total crashes. A number of crashes were revised in the CAMTool based on location, NLFID, logpoint or type of crash. These updates were submitted to ODOT following hand log revision procedures. A summary of the verified crashes is presented below.

Figure 6 - Crash Summary

| CRASH SEVERITY | Number | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Injury Crash | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $35.3 \%$ |
| Property Damage Crash | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $64.7 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| TRAFFIC CRASH YEAR | Number |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $26.5 \%$ |
|  | 2013 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $36.8 \%$ |
|  | 2014 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $36.8 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |  |


| TYPE OF CRASH | Number | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rear End | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $45.6 \%$ |
| Sideswipe - Passing | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| Angle | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| Left Turn | $\mathbf{5}$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Fixed Object | $\mathbf{3}$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Sideswipe - Meeting | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Pedestrian | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

- Of the injury crashes, one was classified as incapacitating, one as severe, and five as minor.
- No fatal crashes were reported.
- Over $70 \%$ of the crashes occurred either under no adverse weather condition, with dry roads, or in daylight.
- Twelve crashes (18\%) involved a hit/skip.
- Forty one crashes occurred at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, 25 crashes occurred at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection, and two segment crashes occurred between the two.
- No crashes involved a train.
- Two crashes involved a tractor/semi-trailer, one of which scraped a pole turning right from northbound Lee Road to SR 43.

Of the two pedestrian crashes, one occurred when a wheelchair user was crossing South Miles Road south to north in the crosswalk and was struck by a vehicle attempting to turn right on red from South Miles Road to northbound Lee Road. The other occurred when a driver on SR 43 attempted to turn right on red from the westbound approach and struck a pedestrian in the crosswalk crossing east to west across Lee Road. In both cases, the operator of the vehicle was at fault for failing to yield to the pedestrian.

As an update to this report, Mott MacDonald downloaded and reviewed 2015 crashes and found 36 crashes within the study area with nearly identical trends as the 2012 through 2014 data in terms of location, type, and severity. A brief summary is below.

- One-third of 2015 crashes involved an injury.
- A majority of the crashes (15) were rear-end crashes (42\%), followed by sideswipe-passing and angle crashes, with eight crashes each.
- Nearly $60 \%$ of all 2015 crashes occurred between the hours of 3PM and 7PM.
- $30 \%$ of all crashes involved a hit/skip.
- One crash involved a pedestrian, struck while crossing on the "do not walk" phase across the west approach of Miles Avenue from south to north.


## Crash Analysis

The most frequently occurring crashes were those associated with the Lee Road southbound left turn movement at South Miles Road. A total of nine crashes (three left turn, three rear end, and three sideswipe-passing) were associated with this left turn movement from the shared through/left turn lane. Five of the nine crashes involved an injury.

Figure 7-SB Vehicle on Lee Road Waiting to Turn Left onto South Miles Road


The other major crash trend was angle crashes at the gas station drive on Lee Road at the northwest corner of the SR 43/Lee Road intersection. Nine angle crashes occurred when the at fault vehicle attempted to exit the gas station and was struck by a southbound vehicle on Lee Road. All nine crashes were property damage only crashes and all occurred between the hours of 12 PM and 7 PM.

Figure 8-HSM Potential for Safety Improvement


Total

Rear end crashes were the most frequently occurring crash type but the location of rear end crashes were spread out. Twenty rear end crashes occurred on Lee Road and were largely related to congestion stemming from the two signalized intersections.

A notable trend in 2015 crashes was that crashes peaked during the hours with heaviest volumes (PM peak).

Mott MacDonald performed a safety analysis for the two study intersections using Highway Safety Manual (HSM) methodology in ODOT's Economic Crash Analysis Tool (ECAT). Based on site characteristics and crash history, the intersections are expected to have approximately 15 crashes per year combined. This is slightly higher than similar sites within the state. Based on the HSM methodology, the potential for safety improvement is two crashes per year.

Figure 9 - SR 43/Lee Road Collision Diagram


Figure 10 - Lee Road/South Miles Road Collision Diagram


## Transportation Analysis

ODOT collected a four-hour turning movement count (7 AM to 9 AM and 4 PM to 6 PM) at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection on September 15, 2015. The count was classified by cars, single unit trucks, and heavy trucks which includes tractor trailers. In addition, ODOT provided a seven-hour turning movement count ( 6 AM to 9 AM, 11 AM to 1 PM, 3 PM to 5 PM) at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection from April 26, 2012, the most recent count at the intersection. The graph below displays total entering intersection volume at SR 43/Lee Road over the course of the seven-hour count.

Figure 11 - Intersection Entering Traffic


The total entering traffic per day is estimated at 25,000 vehicles for the SR 43/Lee Road intersection and 21,000 vehicles for the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection based on the counts, ODOT hourly and seasonal adjustment factors, and historical information. Lee Road is the major roadway at both intersections with approximately 16,000 vehicles per day. Based on turning movement counts, truck traffic makes up approximately $3 \%$ of traffic on all approaches at both intersections.

At the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, over 150 pedestrian crossings were counted during the seven-hour turning movement count. The same pedestrian can cross multiple legs of the intersection so actual number of pedestrians is likely less, however there is still substantial pedestrian traffic through both intersections. Mott MacDonald observed similar pedestrian activity during the field review.

Mott MacDonald utilized Synchro traffic modeling software to analyze the signal operation, specifically the interaction between the two intersections and progression along Lee Road. Mott MacDonald spoke with the Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency (NOACA) about forecasting growth in this area and NOACA determined that Mott MacDonald should use existing traffic counts for any future year capacity analysis as NOACA's model is forecasting negative growth in this area.

Volumes on Lee Road from the 2015 traffic count at Lee Road and South Miles Road were 10\% to 20\% higher than volumes on Lee Road from the 2012 traffic count at SR 43 and Lee. Based on other local counts with data available from 2012 and 2015, the volumes on Lee Road have likely increased since
2012. Mott MacDonald increased volumes on Lee Road at the SR $43 /$ Lee Road intersection in the capacity analysis so that volumes between the two intersections were balanced.

Mott MacDonald obtained the current signal timings and coordination parameters from the City of Cleveland. Below is a summary of current signal parameters.

## Both intersections

- Coordinated to end of green for northbound-southbound through phase (phase $2+6$ on Lee Road)
- 100 second cycle lengths all day
- Same timing and splits for AM and PM peaks
- Four second yellow time for through movements, three second yellow time for left turn movements
- Two second all red time for all movements


## SR 43 / Lee Road intersection

- Six second walk and 19 second flashing don't walk for all crossings
- Ped recall and max vehicle recall turned on for the SR 43 through phases
- Minimum coordination recall for Lee Road through phases


## Lee Road / South Miles Road intersection

- Ped recall and max vehicle recall turned on for the South Miles Road phase
- Max vehicle recall turned on the southbound left turn phase
- Minimum coordination recall for Lee Road through phases

The vehicle and pedestrian recalls are turned on all day resulting in lost efficiency at both signals. At the South Miles Road intersection, the pedestrian recall is turned on due to non-functioning pedestrian pushbuttons while the max vehicle recall is turned on due to a lack of functioning vehicle detection. At the SR 43 intersection, it is not clear why the max vehicle recall is turned on for the SR 43 approaches as loop detectors were replaced in 2014 and appear to be working based on a controller inspection.

Based on Synchro analysis, the SR 43/Lee Road and Lee Road/South Miles Road intersections are currently operating with acceptable Level of Service (LOS) C and delay per vehicle around 20 seconds in the AM peak hour. In the PM peak hour, the SR 43/Lee Road intersection is operating at a LOS D with the southbound approach failing while the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection is operating at a failing LOS E with the southbound approach also failing. Mott MacDonald validated these results based on site observations in the AM and PM peaks across several days.

Mott MacDonald analyzed the following two alternatives to improve operations along Lee Road.

- Alternative A - upgrading vehicle and pedestrian detection at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection and optimizing signal timing and coordination parameters
- Alternative B - widening Lee Road to construct an exclusive southbound left turn lane at South Miles, extending the northbound left turn lane at SR 43, and optimizing signal timing and coordination parameters

A summary of the expected LOS and delay for each alternative as compared to the existing conditions is presented in Figure 12.

Figure 12 - Capacity Analysis Summary

| CUY-43 <br> 2015 Volumes | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { No Build } \end{gathered}$ | AM <br> Alt A | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { Alt B } \end{gathered}$ |  | PM <br> Alt A | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Alt B } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle Length (sec) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| S Miles Rd Offset (sec) | 0 | 36 | 95 | 0 | 19 | 21 |
| SR 43 \& Lee Road | C 24.6 | C 24.5 | C 23.8 | D 42.7 | C 29.2 | C 28.1 |
| EB - SR 43 | C 21.8 | D 35.0 | D 35.0 | B 19.7 | C 31.9 | C 31.9 |
| WB-SR 43 | C 22.1 | C 31.8 | C 31.8 | C 25.1 | D 36.7 | D 36.7 |
| NB - Lee Rd | C 22.5 | B 18.7 | B 16.9 | C 29.7 | C 23.3 | C 20.1 |
| SB-Lee Rd | C 31.7 | C 21.9 | C 21.9 | F* 78.1 | C 29.9 | C 29.9 |
| Lee Rd \& South Miles Rd | C 23.5 | A 7.3 | A 6.4 | E 56.6 | B 13.8 | A 9.5 |
| EB - private drive | C 23.4 | C 33.3 | C 31.0 | C 23.4 | C 30.7 | C 32.2 |
| WB - South Miles Rd | A 9.5 | B 16.4 | C 21.7 | B 10.9 | C 24.8 | B 19.4 |
| NB - Lee Rd | C 24.5 | A 5.0 | A 5.3 | C 24.4 | A 5.5 | B 12.4 |
| SB - Lee Rd | C 25.6 | A 6.9 | A 3.2 | F 88.4 | B 17.0 | A 5.2 |

*F because v/c ratio > 1.0
Upgrading detection and optimizing signal timing and coordination parameters is expected to have a positive impact on reducing delay at both intersections, most notably in the PM peak hour. The current 100 second cycle length is an ideal cycle length for current conditions and when optimizing the timings as it provides suitable time for good progression along Lee Road while still minimizing delay on SR 43 and South Miles Road. Although not analyzed as part of this study, upgrading detection and removing max recall setting from the controllers will allow the signals to run more efficiently during periods of the day outside of the AM and PM peaks.

From an operational standpoint, adding an exclusive southbound left turn lane at South Miles Road is expected to have a similar impact on reducing delay at both intersections as Alternative A.

Mott MacDonald calculated recommended turn lane lengths for exclusive turn lanes based on the ODOT Location \& Design Manual (L\&D), Volume 1, Sections 401-9E and 401-10E. At the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, the existing northbound and southbound left turn lanes do not meet L\&D criteria. Per Alternative B, a southbound left turn lane length was also calculated at the South Miles Road intersection. The results are below.

Figure 13 - Turn Lane Summary

| Intersection | Approach | Direction | Existing <br> (ft) | Calc Length (ft) | Thru Backup (ft) | Recommended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 43 <br> @ <br> Lee Rd | SR 43 | EB | 155 | 150 | 175 | no change |
|  |  | WB | 200 | 225 | 175 | no change |
|  | Lee Rd | NB | 130 | 300 | 325 | 200' based on spacing with S Miles and RR tracks |
|  |  | SB | 120 | 200 | 338 | no change, limited by existing pavement |
| Lee Rd @ <br> S Miles Rd | Lee Rd | SB | - | 250 | 513 | $150 '$ based on spacing with SR 43 and RR tracks |

Mott MacDonald also utilized SimTraffic traffic simulation software to estimate expected queues in the study area.

Figure 14- Queue Length Summary
SR 43 at Lee Road

| 95th \% Queue (ft) | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Exist Storage Length | 155 | - | - | 200 | - | - | 130 | 500 | 500 | 120 | - | - |
| PM No Build | 68 | 176 | 217 | 98 | 135 | 137 | 171 | 203 | 204 | 206 | 1021 | 975 |
| PM Alt A | 61 | 166 | 226 | 100 | 146 | 129 | 212 | 324 | 330 | 160 | 568 | 544 |
| PM Alt B | 51 | 159 | 283 | 100 | 148 | 163 | 190 | 269 | 281 | 218 | 474 | 430 |

Lee Road at South Miles Road

| 95th \% Queue (ft) | EB | WB | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | L | LT* | TR |
| Exist Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | 500 |
| PM No Build | 46 | 148 | 261 | 251 | - | 395 | 403 |
| PM Alt A | 59 | 181 | 204 | 174 | - | 338 | 343 |
| PM Alt B | 51 | 202 | 215 | 208 | 107 | 203 | 235 |

*Through lane only for Alt B

On Lee Road, northbound queue lengths at SR 43 and southbound queues lengths at South Miles Road currently extend past the at-grade railroad crossing and are expected to extend past the crossing with Alternatives $A$ and $B$. In general, queues in Alternatives $A$ and $B$ are expected to decrease or be similar to existing conditions. Notably, southbound queues on Lee Road are expected to decrease by about half for both alternatives at SR 43 and by nearly half for Alternative B at South Miles Road.

## Previous and Future Projects

- NOACA led a Road Safety Audit (RSA) with the same limits as this study in 2011. Representatives from the City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, and ODOT District 12 were involved along with NOACA. A number of recommendations were made to improve safety and operations and many of the recommendations were implemented. Recommendations that were not implemented include:
- Provide left turn lane for southbound Lee Road at South Miles Road
- Add emergency vehicle preemption
- Upgrade detection at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection
- Provide backplates at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection
- Install "SR 43" junction signs on Lee Road
- Install lane use signs and pavement marking arrows on southbound Lee Road approaching South Miles Road
- Improve radii at SR 43/Lee Road intersection
- Define narrowing of lanes on SR 43
- Upgrade pedestrian signals and pushbuttons at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection
- Upgrade sidewalks using $10^{\prime \prime}$ concrete on all corners
- Improve the pavement transition and surface at the railroad crossing
- Narrow the drive on Lee Road at the northwest corner of the SR 43/Lee Road intersection
- In 2014, the traffic signal at SR 43 and Lee Road was reconstructed as part of an ODOT sponsored safety design project (PID 88276). The following improvements were implemented with this project, all of which were recommended in the RSA:
- Upgraded detection to powerhead loops
- Added signal backplates
- Upgraded to LED vehicular signal indications
- Upgraded pedestrian signals to countdown LED signals
- Reconstructed curb ramps to meet ADA requirements
- Upgraded pedestrian pushbuttons
- Upgraded pavement markings from paint to epoxy
- Staggered stop lines on SR 43 to allow more space for turning trucks
- Retimed the signal
- In January of 2016, the controller and UPS at the SR 43/Lee Road intersection located on the northwest corner were hit by a vehicle and both were damaged beyond repair. The City of Cleveland replaced the controller but not the UPS.


## Proposed Countermeasures and Design Evaluations

The most frequently occurring crashes within the study area are related to the southbound left turn movement from Lee Road to South Miles Road. A proven safety strategy to address the crashes at this location is to add an exclusive southbound left turn lane. An exclusive left turn lane would eliminate the shared through/left turn lane. This would directly address the rear ends (caused by drivers unexpectedly stopping when the driver in front of them is attempting to turn left from the shared lane during the permissive signal phase) and sideswipes (caused by drivers attempting to switch lanes to avoid a stopped vehicle attempting to turn left from the shared lane during the permissive signal phase).

While there appears to be enough room within the right of way to widen Lee Road, there are several utilities that would need to be relocated including overhead power facilities on Lee Road. Furthermore, widening would facilitate the need for new signals at the South Miles Road intersection and would require relocating the railroad crossing gates.

Other safety strategies include retiming the Lee Road/South Miles Road signal (i.e. extending the southbound protected left turn phase) and restriping the southbound approach for one through lane and one exclusive left turn lane. Since left turn vehicles arrive at random and are just as likely to arrive during the permissive phase, extending the southbound protected phase would have little impact on safety while increasing delay for northbound vehicles. Restriping the southbound approach presents several problems, namely it would negatively affect the upstream southbound approach at SR 43 as driver lane preference would shift heavily to the southbound curb lane, reducing efficiency through the intersection. In addition, restriping would add delay at the South Miles Road intersection and may result in more sideswipe crashes as through southbound vehicles from SR 43 in the middle lane would have to shift lanes to continue through at South Miles Road.

Figure 15-90-foot drive opening on Lee Road


The location with the most frequently occurring single crash type was the driveway on Lee Road for the gas station at the northwest corner of SR 43/Lee Road. Nine angle crashes occurred when a driver attempted to exit the gas station and was struck by a southbound vehicle. The drive opening at this location is 90 feet long and is shared with a multi-use commercial building. The commercial building has another drive 25 feet to the north. To potentially mitigate this crash, the driveway width should be decreased and moved as far away from the intersection as possible. Access at the other corners is well controlled. The southeast corner is currently vacant and the City of Cleveland should actively control driveway size and location when the property is redeveloped.

Figure 16-Proposed Access Management on Lee Road


The low cost safety strategy that may reduce rear end crashes and also have a positive impact on improving operations between the two intersections would be to retime the signals and adjust the coordination parameters. Adjusting the timing would improve progression along Lee Road and result in fewer stops for vehicles on Lee Road and is expected to reduce delay to vehicles on all approaches. Currently the signals are coordinated, but the offsets between signals do not appear to be providing optimal progression based on Mott MacDonald field observations, namely in the PM peak. To optimize timings, vehicle detection must be added to the South Miles Road approach so that the controller can be taken off of max recall for that phase.

Currently, all red clearance intervals are set at 2.0 seconds for every phase. Yellow clearance intervals vary from 3.0 seconds for left turn phases to 4.0 seconds for through phases. Mott MacDonald reviewed clearance intervals using ODOT's clearance interval calculation spreadsheet and found existing clearance intervals to be in line with calculated values. As crash data does not indicate insufficient clearance intervals, Mott MacDonald recommends no change to clearance intervals.

In addition, Mott MacDonald reviewed existing pedestrian timing settings per the Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (OMUTCD). At both intersections, minimum walk times and minimum clearance intervals (flashing don't walk times) are met for all crossings. The only pedestrian timing change Mott MacDonald recommends is to remove the pedestrian recall.

As part of improving progression and traffic flow along Lee Road, the at-grade railroad crossing must be improved. Currently, drivers traveling between the two study intersections must slow down, in both directions, to avoid ruts, holes, and uneven pavement while crossing the tracks. Mott MacDonald gauged driving speeds across the tracks by driving with the flow of traffic and found the most common speed vehicles travel across the tracks was approximately 15 to 20 MPH in the northbound direction and 10 to 15 MPH in the southbound direction. This slow down disrupts platoons formed at each signal and will prevent improved coordination until the crossing is improved.

Figure 17-NB Queues on Lee Road from SR 43 through South Miles Intersection


As queues extend from one intersection to the other in both directions, Mott MacDonald noted queued vehicles stopping on the railroad tracks nearly every cycle during the PM peak. Based on information from ORDC and PUCO, the tracks see one to two trains per day. Mott MacDonald was unable to obtain any other information on the schedule and timing of train traffic. Because the track is active, the signal spacing between the two intersections and the railroad crossing as well as the observed queues warrants further evaluation for providing railroad preemption at both signals. Railroad preemption would effectively clear queues on Lee Road by taking priority control over the signals and providing green time to the appropriate phases when a train is approaching. Although no crashes involved a train, providing railroad preemption at this location would be a proactive approach to addressing a potentially serious crash.

Figure 18-SB Queues on Lee Road from South Miles Intersection


At the SR 43/Lee Road intersection, the radii are unsatisfactory as indicated by tire marks on the walk and signs of scraped utility poles, namely on the southwest and southeast corners. Because only one crash noted the tight radii as a contributing factor to a crash, the presence of two receiving lanes to alleviate difficult right turn maneuvers, and potentially longer pedestrian crossings, Mott MacDonald does not recommend increasing radii at this time. Truck turning movements and radii should be further evaluated with any future pavement improvements at the intersection.

Other potential improvements that should be considered include the following:

- Upgrade signals with backplates (may require new mast arm supports) and pedestrian signals with countdown timers at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection
- Install SR 43 junction signage on Lee Road and install lane use signs and pavement marking arrows on Lee Road approaching South Miles Road


## Recommendations

Mott MacDonald weighed the benefits of each safety strategy given current site conditions, crash patterns, and previously implemented safety improvements. The HSM safety analysis shows that this site is operating with more crashes per year than similar sites. Mott MacDonald believes there are a number of countermeasures that can improve safety while also providing operational benefits.

## Short Term Recommendations

- Mott MacDonald recommends upgrading pedestrian and vehicle detection at the South Miles Road intersection and retiming both intersections including: removing unnecessary recalls, programming time-of-day patterns, and optimizing intersection and coordination parameters. This is a lower cost improvement that can have a substantial impact on reducing vehicle delay, improving progression, and reducing rear end crashes along Lee Road. Along with retiming the signal, Mott MacDonald recommends evaluating the need for railroad traffic signal preemption.


## Medium Term Recommendations

- Mott MacDonald recommends improving the transition and surface of the at-grade railroad crossing. This will require coordination between the City of Cleveland, the operating railroad company, and the crossing owner. Mott MacDonald believes this recommendation should take priority because of the necessary coordination and because the other improvements cannot be fully realized without removing this impediment to speed and progression. This is considered to be a medium term recommendation due to expected coordination effort.
- Mott MacDonald recommends reconstructing the Lee Road driveway to the gas station on the northwest corner of SR 43/Lee Road to narrow the drive away from the intersection.


## Long Term Recommendations

- Mott MacDonald recommends widening Lee Road south of SR 43 to add an exclusive southbound left turn lane at South Miles Road. An exclusive left turn lane will directly address the southbound rear end and sideswipe crashes occurring on Lee Road at South Miles Road. Widening would also facilitate the need for new mast arms, signals with backplates, pedestrian signals, and vehicle detection at the South Miles Road intersection. As part of the widening, extend the northbound left turn lane of Lee Road at SR 43 to just north of the railroad crossing.


## Costs and Benefits

The estimated cost for upgrading pedestrian and vehicle detection at the Lee Road/South Miles Road intersection is approximately $\$ 25,000$. This includes advanced loops on Lee Road, stop bar loops on South Miles Road, video or radar detection for the church drive, and retiming of the two intersections.

The estimated cost for reconstructing the at-grade railroad crossing on Lee Road varies depending on the work required. To replace the surface between the tracks, reconstruct the approaching roadway a few feet on each side of the tracks, and connect the sidewalks is estimated to cost around $\$ 150,000$. If the railroad tracks need to be repaired, replaced, or reset, the estimated cost to reconstruct the atgrade crossing would increase to around $\$ 250,000$. These costs are based on research of similar projects in various states.

The estimated cost for reconstructing the Lee Road driveway to the gas station on the northwest corner of SR 43/Lee Road is approximately $\$ 12,000$.

The estimated cost for widening Lee Road to provide a southbound left turn lane at South Miles Road and reconstructing the signal is approximately $\$ 1.99$ million. The cost is based on asymmetrical widening of Lee Road seven feet to the west and two feet to the east to minimize utility conflicts and right of way encroachments. No permanent right of way takes are anticipated, however temporary easements may be necessary for grading and tying in driveways. Costs include five utility pole relocations (two with lights) on the west side of Lee Road. Costs also include reconstructing the railroad crossing as described above, assume full replacement of pavement and tracts. Costs do not include relocating or reconstructing the railroad gates and overhead railroad signals.

The cost benefit portion of the ECAT for proposed countermeasures was not completed due to a lack of reliable crash modification factors for the recommended alternatives.

## Appendix A

Condition Diagram



## Appendix B Traffic Data



Study Name Lee Rd @ South Miles TMC
Start Date 9/15/2015
Start Time 7:00 AM
Site Code

|  | Lee Rd |  |  | South Miles Rd |  |  | Lee Rd |  |  | church drive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound Approach |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 123 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 120 | 18 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 411 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 176 | 22 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 472 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 127 | 31 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 439 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 158 | 26 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 425 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 155 | 28 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 153 | 42 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 147 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 249 | 43 | 61 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 261 | 49 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 228 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 599 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 271 | 41 | 51 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 551 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 280 | 33 | 49 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 277 | 36 | 47 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 222 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 598 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 271 | 34 | 40 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 560 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 271 | 46 | 64 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 |
| 5:45 PM | 4 | 271 | 46 | 49 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 589 |
|  | 5 | 3310 | 530 | 694 | 2 | 64 | 51 | 3338 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7998 |

Appendix C
Capacity Analysis

CUY-43-11.13 Safety Study
Summary of Assumptions for Capacity Analysis

## General

- As a basis for traffic volumes, a 2012 and a 2015 turning movement count were used for the SR 43/Lee Road and Lee Road/South Miles Road intersections, respectively.
- HMM balanced the volumes on Lee Road between intersections by increasing volumes from the 2012 count.
- For movements that are allowed but had volumes counted of less than 10 vehicles per hour, HMM increased volumes to 10 vehicles per hour.
- HMM utilized truck percentages from the two traffic counts for each respective intersection.
- Speeds used in the analysis are posted speed limits for intersection approaches and segments.
- LOS E or F were considered failing.
- Approaches with volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios of 1.0 or greater for any movement were considered LOS F regardless of delay.


## Synchro

- Synchro was used to analyze existing conditions and all alternatives.
- For the existing conditions analysis, HMM used existing signal timing information obtained from the City of Cleveland (current as of February 18, 2016) and record plans.
- HMM validated existing condition results with field observations.
- The model allows right turns on red for all conditions.
- Peak hour factor was set to 0.90.
- At all existing pedestrian crossing locations, HMM input pedestrian parameters as 5 pedestrians per crossing per hour for AM and PM peaks.
- HMM input 2 bus blockages per approach per hour.
- HMM set vehicle arrivals from outside the study area as random.


## No Build



Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.6
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.6\%
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.5
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.5\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd



Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay: 56.6
Intersection LOS: E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 93.5\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& SR 43


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.06
Intersection Signal Delay: 42.7
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.9\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& SR 43


Build A

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t | \％ | 个 ${ }^{2}$ | \％ | 个t | \％ | 中t |
| Volume（vph） | 53 | 287 | 54 | 210 | 191 | 778 | 76 | 516 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ | NA |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 25.0 | 6.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 | 31.0 | 12.0 | 31.0 | 12.0 | 31.0 | 12.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 35.0 | 16.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 16．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 16．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 16．0\％ | 35．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | None | C－Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 25.6 | 18.0 | 25.8 | 18.1 | 59.3 | 48.7 | 52.1 | 43.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.43 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.74 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.41 |
| Control Delay | 23.4 | 36.4 | 24.9 | 33.1 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 13.3 | 23.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.4 | 36.4 | 24.9 | 33.1 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 13.3 | 23.1 |
| LOS | C | D | C | C | B | B | B | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.0 |  | 31.8 |  | 18.7 |  | 21.9 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | C |  | B |  | C |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.74
Intersection Signal Delay： 24.5
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69．2\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：1：Lee Rd \＆SR 43


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 36 (36\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.3
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.8\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd



Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.2
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 19 (19\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.8\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Build B


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 95 (95\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.4
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd



Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.1
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43


Timings
6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 21 (21\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: 9.5
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.1\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd


Appendix D
Queue Analysis

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 57 | 139 | 202 | 41 | 103 | 107 | 178 | 205 | 216 | 60 | 266 | 269 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 19 | 72 | 92 | 22 | 59 | 40 | 87 | 94 | 108 | 32 | 123 | 125 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 47 | 116 | 171 | 43 | 101 | 85 | 151 | 158 | 172 | 56 | 199 | 218 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1878 | 1878 |  | 1636 | 1636 |  | 538 | 538 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 200 |  |  | 130 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 155 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 18 |  |  | 6 |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 69 | 173 | 294 | 278 | 264 | 247 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 64 | 191 | 169 | 168 | 166 |
| 95th Queue ( ft ) | 63 | 126 | 271 | 248 | 238 | 232 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1303 | 2486 | 1485 | 1485 | 538 | 538 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 36

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 185 | 277 | 252 | 380 | 409 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 81 | 190 | 167 | 320 | 330 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 148 | 261 | 251 | 395 | 403 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1303 | 2486 | 1485 | 1485 | 538 | 538 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 95 | 279 | 305 | 124 | 143 | 154 | 180 | 226 | 245 | 170 | 1100 | 1049 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 83 | 117 | 47 | 77 | 74 | 99 | 119 | 137 | 105 | 548 | 527 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 68 | 176 | 217 | 98 | 135 | 137 | 171 | 203 | 204 | 206 | 1021 | 975 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1878 | 1878 |  | 1636 | 1636 |  | 538 | 538 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 155 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 130 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 |  | 3 | 62 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 39 | 21 |  | 13 | 57 |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 130

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 61 | 225 | 258 | 82 | 122 | 110 | 179 | 437 | 407 | 169 | 204 | 205 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 25 | 78 | 92 | 22 | 60 | 50 | 79 | 147 | 164 | 39 | 116 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 57 | 141 | 174 | 49 | 99 | 105 | 150 | 281 | 279 | 105 | 181 | 182 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1878 | 1878 |  | 1636 | 1636 |  | 538 | 538 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 200 |  |  | 130 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 155 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 9 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 145 | 187 | 124 | 180 | 190 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 61 | 74 | 45 | 85 | 71 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 49 | 110 | 146 | 102 | 152 | 144 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1303 | 2486 | 1485 | 1485 | 538 | 538 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 25

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 76 | 183 | 256 | 124 | 164 | 151 | 180 | 339 | 336 | 169 | 763 | 734 |
| Average Queue ( (tt) | 26 | 99 | 144 | 48 | 83 | 73 | 112 | 195 | 208 | 69 | 303 | 290 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 61 | 166 | 226 | 100 | 146 | 129 | 212 | 324 | 330 | 160 | 568 | 544 |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 1878 | 1878 |  | 1636 | 1636 |  | 538 | 538 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  | 120 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 155 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 18 |  | 0 | 41 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 36 |  | 0 | 39 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 72 | 317 | 259 | 231 | 400 | 389 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 89 | 109 | 75 | 211 | 220 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 59 | 181 | 204 | 174 | 338 | 343 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1303 | 2486 | 1485 | 1485 | 538 | 538 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 92

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 85 | 238 | 296 | 82 | 136 | 139 | 180 | 364 | 390 | 170 | 290 | 293 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 36 | 91 | 102 | 27 | 63 | 50 | 102 | 185 | 199 | 36 | 112 | 164 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 | 179 | 201 | 66 | 117 | 106 | 183 | 315 | 313 | 101 | 219 | 261 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1879 | 1879 |  | 1635 | 1635 |  | 536 | 536 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 155 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 | 165 | 156 | 155 | 178 | 198 | 206 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 63 | 78 | 61 | 73 | 52 | 76 |
| 95th Queue ( ft ) | 59 | 128 | 149 | 126 | 151 | 144 | 174 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1297 | 2479 | 1482 | 1482 | 536 | 536 | 536 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 35

Intersection: 1: Lee Rd \& SR 43

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue ( (tt) | 83 | 183 | 397 | 121 | 180 | 179 | 273 | 300 | 298 | 170 | 592 | 538 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 21 | 90 | 144 | 49 | 83 | 81 | 93 | 144 | 162 | 109 | 278 | 251 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 159 | 283 | 100 | 148 | 163 | 190 | 269 | 281 | 218 | 474 | 430 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1878 | 1878 |  | 1636 | 1636 |  | 538 | 538 |  | 1437 | 1437 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  |  | 120 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 155 |  |  | 200 | 0 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 39 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 36 |  |

Intersection: 6: Lee Rd \& private drive/S Miles Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 252 | 218 | 202 | 132 | 227 | 245 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 106 | 137 | 119 | 56 | 115 | 139 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 202 | 215 | 208 | 107 | 203 | 235 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1303 | 2486 | 1485 | 1485 |  | 538 | 538 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 47

Appendix E
Clearance Interval Calculations

|  |  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PEDESTRIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  | CALCuLATED |  |  | FINAL CLEARANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OMUTCD <br> 4E.06-12OMUTCDOE.06-07 |  |  | 3 fps CHECKS (OMUTCD 4E.06-14) |  |  |  | FINAL PED TIMING |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ̣}}{\underset{\sim}{4}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{4} \\ & + \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{u}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 씄 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{4} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\hat{n}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | t | v | v | a | w | L | g | Y | AR | TOTAL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Y} \\ (3-6 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { TYP }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AR } \\ (1-6 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { TYP) } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |  |  | L | m <br> m <br> n | P |  |  |  | $=3.5 \mathrm{fps}$ <br> WALK TIME | $=3.5 \mathrm{fps}$ WALK TIME - 3 sec BUFFER | X | Y |  |  | $\underset{\text { 는 }}{\text { K }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \frac{1}{\mathbb{1}} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | SEC | MPH | FPS | SQ. FPS | FT | FT | \% | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC |  |  | FT |  | FT | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC |  | SEC | SEC | SEC |
| 1 | NB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 110 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 6.3 | - | - | - | NB | 6 | 69 | YES | 10 | 4 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 26.3 | 20.7 | NO | 5.6 | 10 | 17 |
| 2 | SB | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 102 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 6.1 | - | - | - | SB | 2 | 72 | YES | 20 | 4 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 30.7 | 21.6 | NO | 9.1 | 14 | 18 |
| 3 | WB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 62 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 5.0 | - | - | - | EB | 4 | 63 | YES | 15 | 4 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 26.0 | 19.0 | NO | 7.0 | 11 | 15 |
| 4 | EB | 1 | 35 | 51.33 | 10 | 90 | 20 | 0 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 5.7 | - | - | - | WB | 8 | 64 | YES | 10 | 4 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 24.7 | 19.3 | NO | 5.4 | 10 | 16 |
| 5 | SB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 109 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 6.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | NB | 1 | 35 | 51.33 | 10 | 103 | 20 | 0 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 6.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | EBLT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 63 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 5.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| 8 | WB | 1 | 35 | 51.33 | 10 | 95 | 20 | 0 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  |  | TRAFFIC SIGNAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PEDESTRIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  | CALCuLATED |  |  | FINAL CLEARANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OMUTCD <br> 4E.06-12OMUTCDOE.06-07 |  |  | 3 fps CHECKS (OMUTCD 4E.06-14) |  |  |  | FINAL PED TIMING |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{4} \\ & + \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{u}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 씄 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{4} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\hat{n}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | t | v | v | a | w | L | g | Y | AR | TOTAL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Y} \\ (3-6 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { TYP }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AR } \\ (1-6 \mathrm{~s} \\ \text { TYP) } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |  |  | L | m <br> m <br> n | P |  |  |  | $=3.5 \mathrm{fps}$ <br> WALK TIME | $=3.5 \mathrm{fps}$ WALK TIME - 3 sec BUFFER | X | Y |  |  | $\underset{\text { 는 }}{\text { K }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \frac{1}{\mathbb{1}} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | SEC | MPH | FPS | SQ. FPS | FT | FT | \% | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC |  |  | FT |  | FT | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC |  | SEC | SEC | SEC |
| 1 | NB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 5.5 | - | - | - | NB | 6 | 52 | YES | 10 | 7 | 14.9 | 11.9 | 20.7 | 18.9 | NO | 1.8 | 9 | 12 |
| 2 | SB | 1 | 35 | 51.33 | 10 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 5.2 | - | - | - | SB | 6 | 52 | YES | 25 | 7 | 14.9 | 11.9 | 25.7 | 18.9 | NO | 6.8 | 14 | 12 |
| 3 | WB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.7 | - | - | - | EB | 8 | 48 | YES | 15 | 7 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 21.0 | 17.7 | NO | 3.3 | 11 | 11 |
| 4 | EB | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 71 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | - | - | - | WB | 8 | 48 | YES | 10 | 7 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 19.3 | 17.7 | NO | 1.6 | 9 | 11 |
| 5 | SB LT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 63 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 5.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | NB | 1 | 35 | 51.33 | 10 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | EBLT | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| 8 | WB | 1 | 25 | 36.67 | 10 | 66 | 20 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 5.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix F
Turn Lane Calculations



## Appendix G Cost Estimate

CUY-43-11.13 - Long Term Recommendations - Alternative B Conceptual Estimate of Probable Costs

| Items | Unit | Unit Cost \$ (2016) | Quantity | Total \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Cost Drivers |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway |  |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing | acre | \$4,000 | 0.08 | \$325 |
| Pavement Removed | sq yd | \$17 | 3,455 | \$58,735 |
| Walk Removed | sq ft | \$4 | 5,275 | \$21,100 |
| Curb Removed | foot | \$11 | 1,160 | \$12,760 |
| Misc Earthwork | lump | \$2,150 | lump | \$2,150 |
| 6" Concrete Walk | sq ft | \$6 | 6,540 | \$39,240 |
| Curb Ramp | sq ft | \$15 | 192 | \$2,880 |
| Utility / Light Pole Relocation | each | \$15,000 | 5 | \$75,000 |
| Misc Utility | lump | \$20,000 | lump | \$20,000 |
| Reconstructing At-Grade RR Crossing (does not include gates or overhead signals) | lump | \$250,000 | lump | \$250,000 |
| Drainage |  |  |  |  |
| 6" Underdrain | foot | \$13 | 1,250 | \$16,250 |
| Catch Basin | each | \$2,500 | 6 | \$15,000 |
| Catch Basin Removed | each | \$450 | 6 | \$2,700 |
| Manhole Reconstructed to Grade | each | \$1,300 | 19 | \$24,700 |
| Storm Pipe | ft | \$150 | 650 | \$97,500 |
| Misc Drainage | lump | \$10,000 | lump | \$10,000 |
| Pavement |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Pavement (10" Item 452, 6" Agg Base, Subgrade Compaction) | sq yd | \$80 | 3,680 | \$294,400 |
| Combination Curb and Gutter | foot | \$20 | 1,155 | \$23,100 |
| Driveway Apron | sq yd | \$75 | 210 | \$15,750 |
| Traffic Control |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Line | mile | \$3,000 | 0.18 | \$540 |
| Center Line | mile | \$6,000 | 0.15 | \$900 |
| Channelizing Line | ft | \$1.50 | 200 | \$300 |
| Transverse Line | ft | \$5.00 | 150 | \$750 |
| Stop Line | ft | \$5.00 | 75 | \$375 |
| Lane Arrow | each | \$90.00 | 4 | \$360 |
| Crosswalk Line | ft | \$3 | 250 | \$750 |
| Signs | lump | \$10,000 | lump | \$10,000 |
| Traffic Signals |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicular Signal Head | each | \$1,200 | 8 | \$9,600 |
| Pedestrian Signal Head | each | \$600 | 6 | \$3,600 |
| Pedestrian Pushbutton | each | \$225 | 2 | \$450 |
| Signal Pole Foundation | each | \$4,000 | 4 | \$16,000 |
| Mast Arm Signal Suport | each | \$10,000 | 4 | \$40,000 |
| Misc (pullboxes, conduit, conduit jacked or drilled, trench, signal cable, power cable, power service, cabinet, cabinet foundation) | lump | \$30,000 | lump | \$30,000 |
| Misc Detection | lump | \$20,000 | lump | \$20,000 |
| Removal of Traffic Signal Installation | each | \$5,000 | 1 | \$5,000 |
| Controller Unit | each | \$15,000 | 1 | \$15,000 |
| Uninterruptable Power Supply (UPS) | each | \$5,000 | 1 | \$5,000 |
| Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |
| Misc Erosion Control | lump | \$8,000 | lump | \$8,000 |
| Seeding and Mulching | sq yd | \$3 | 250 | \$750 |
| Topsoil | cu yd | \$15 | 20 | \$300 |
| Water Work |  |  |  |  |
| New Hydrant / Standpipe | each | \$4,500 | 2 | \$9,000 |
| Hydrant / Standpipe Removed | each | \$500 | 2 | \$1,000 |
| Primary Cost Drivers Subtotal |  |  |  | \$1,160,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way (1\%) | lump |  | lump | \$12,000 |
| Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) (3\%) | lump |  | lump | \$35,000 |
| Construction Layout Stakes (0.75\%) | lump |  | lump | \$9,000 |
| Field Office, Type B | month | \$1,600 | 4 | \$6,400 |
| Mobilization | lump |  | lump | \$40,000 |
| Contingencies (30\%) |  |  |  | \$379,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$1,641,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Design (10\%) |  |  |  | \$165,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary of Probable Total Project Costs 2016 |  |  |  | \$1,806,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflation at 9.9\% for 2019 Construction |  |  |  | \$179,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary of Probable Total Project Costs 2019 |  |  |  | \$1,990,000 |



## Appendix H ECAT Analysis

|  <br> E-M | Project Safety Performance Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -4 | General Information |  |  |
| Project Name | CUY-43-11.13 | Contact Email | sam.bobko@hatchmott.com |
| Project Description | SR 43 at Lee Road Safety Study | Contact Phone | 216-535-4493 |
| Reference Number |  | Date Performed | 3/18/2016 |
| Analyst | SJB | Analysis Year | 2014 |
| Agency/Company | Hatch Mott MacDonald |  |  |

Summary of Anticipated Safety Performance of the Project (average crashes/year)


| Project Summary Results (Without Animal Crashes) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KA | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {predicted }}$ - Existing Conditions | 0.3396 | 1.4172 | 1.9069 | $\mathbf{O}$ |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {expected }}$ - Existing Conditions | 0.3345 | 1.3748 | 2.4765 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {potential for improvement }}$ - Existing Conditions | -0.0051 | -0.0424 | 13.1402 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {expected }}$ - Proposed Conditions | 0.3273 | 1.3390 | 0.3343 | 14.8148 |

